IRSAE Int. PhD Summer School Fuglsøcenteret, 21 August 2013

Adaptive management

Fred A. Johnson
USGS Southeast Ecological Science Center, Gainesville, USA



Jesper Madsen
Aarhus University, Denmark



IRSAE Int. PhD Summer School Fuglsøcenteret, 21 August 2013

Program

09:00-09:15 Introduction; goals and format for the day (Jesper Madsen)

09:15-10:15 Introduction to Structured Decision Making and Adaptive Management (Fred Johnson)

- Key concepts and elements of structured decision making
- Key features of adaptive management and knowing when it is appropriate
- Guidance for constructing management objectives, alternatives, and models
- Managing to learn and learning to manage

10:15-10:30 Break

10:30-11:30 Case study: the Svalbard population of pink-footed geese (Jesper Madsen)

11:30-13:00 Interactive session: learning how to specify objectives, alternatives, models, and monitoring for adaptive management (Fred Johnson)

13:00-1400 Lunch

14:00-14:45 Case-based group work

Adaptive management

- Old wine on new bottles?
- Common sense?
- A new perspective to wildlife management?

Types and locations of AM applications

Table 1
Properties of identified AM Projects (see text for details of selection methodology). Key to AM criteria: (1) Identification of management goals. (2) Specification of ≥2

management options. (3) Discussion of a rigorous statistical process for interpreting how the system responds to management interventions (quantitative conceptual models and/ or a rigorous experimental design). (4) Number of management actions implemented (ideally > 2). (5) Regular monitoring of system response to management interventions. (6) Adjust management practice in response to results from monitoring. Stars show cases where a criterion has been attained, while question marks show that information is not available in the identified sources.

Project	Country	Duration (years)	AM Criteria						References
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
Waterfowl management	United States	25	*	*	*	Many	*	*	Conn and Kendall (2004), Lyons et al. (2008), Johnson et al. (2002), Williams et al. (1996), Williams and Nichols (2001)
Colorado River, Glen Canyon	United States	13	*		*	1	*		Cross et al. (2011), Hughes et al. (2007), Walters et al. (2000)
Northwest Forest Plan	United States	10	*	*	?	Many	*		Bormann et al. (2007), Gray (2000), McAlpine et al. (2007), Molina et al. (2006), Stankey et al. (2003)
Wolf management - Yellowstone	United States	9	*		*	1	*		Varley and Boyce (2006)
- Yukon	Cana da	17	*	*	*	2	*		Hayes et al. (2003)
Reintroduction of Hihi (Mokoia Island)	New Zealand	8	*	*	*	4	*	*	Armstrong et al. (2007)
Predator control - Kokako (North Island)	New Zealand	8	*	*	*	2	*		Innes et al. (1999)
- Whio (Fiord-land NP)	New Zealand	6	*	*	*	2	*		Whitehead et al. (2008)
Woodland management	Australia	7	*	*	*	5			Rumpff et al. (2011)
Restoration of sand-mined locations	Australia	3	*	*	*	6	*	*	Cummings et al. (2005)
Restoration of woodland bird habitats	Australia	2	*	*	*	3	*		Howes et al. (2010)
Management of Sika Deer	Japan	10	*		*	1	*	*	Kaji et al. (2010)
Monitoring of agri-environment schemes	UK	6	*	*	*	2	*	*	Perkins et al. (2011)

From Westgate et al. 2013, Biological Conservation

We measure things differently





We talk differerent languages



Commonalities and differences in waterbird management

NORTH AMERICA	EUROPE				
 Conservation of species and populations, maintain harvest 	 Conservation of species (and populations) 				
 Habitat conservation and restoration 	 Habitat conservation and restoration 				
 Critical sites networks for migratory waterbirds 	 Critical sites networks for migratory waterbirds 				
 Coordinated international management - focus on harvest 	 No overall international coordination of management 				
 Adaptive harvest management approach – 'hands on' 	 Reactive and rigid management approach – 'hands off' 				
Targeted population monitoring and strategic research	Broad population monitoring and diffuse research				